

JAMES A. HEARD, State Bar No. 114940  
MARK L. MOSLEY, State Bar No. 136449  
MELANIE SENGUPTA, State Bar No. 244615  
MACKENZIE & ALBRITTON LLP  
155 Sansome Street, Suite 800  
San Francisco, CA 94104  
Telephone: (415) 288-4000  
Facsimile: (415) 288-4010  
Emails: [jheard@mallp.com](mailto:jheard@mallp.com)  
[mmosleyesq@gmail.com](mailto:mmosleyesq@gmail.com)  
[m.sengupta@mallp.com](mailto:m.sengupta@mallp.com)

Attorneys for Defendants SACRAMENTO-  
VALLEY LIMITED PARTNERSHIP, d/b/a  
VERIZON WIRELESS and GUILLIAM NEL

UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT  
EASTERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA  
SACRAMENTO DIVISION

MONICA EISENSTECKEN, et al.,

Plaintiffs,

vs.

TAHOE REGIONAL PLANNING AGENCY, et  
al.,

Defendants.

Case No. 2:20-cv-02349-TLN-CKD

**STIPULATION AND ORDER TO  
EXPAND PAGE LIMITS FOR MOTIONS  
TO DISMISS THIRD AMENDED  
COMPLAINT**

Filed: November 24, 2020

Trial Date: None Set

Plaintiffs Monica Eisenstecken, David Bendict, Tahoe Stewards, LLC, Tahoe for Safer  
Tech, and Environmental Health Trust, and Defendants Tahoe Regional Planning Agency,  
Joanne Marchetta, in her official and individual capacities, Marsha Berkbigler, in her official and  
individual capacities, Sue Novasel, in her official and representative capacities, Guillian Nel,

1 and Sacramento Valley Limited Partnership, doing business as Verizon Wireless (“Verizon  
2 Wireless”), collectively, the “Stipulating Parties,” stipulate as follows:

3 1. The Plaintiffs filed their Third Amended Complaint, Petition for Writ of Mandate,  
4 Declaratory Relief, Injunctive Relief, and Damages on June 10, 2022 (Dkt. 60) (the “TAC”).

5 2. Defendants intend to file one or more motions to dismiss the TAC. Due to the  
6 length and complexity of the complaint, which is over 100 pages and contains 13 causes of action,  
7 the Stipulating Parties believe that briefs in support of and opposition to the motion(s) to dismiss  
8 will require more pages than the Court’s standing order would normally permit. In order to allow  
9 adequate presentation of the issues, the Stipulating Parties request that the Court allow up to 30  
10 pages for any motion to dismiss or opposition, and up to 15 pages for reply briefs in support of  
11 any motion to dismiss.

12 IT IS SO STIPULATED.

13 Dated: June 28, 2022

14  
15 /s/ Julian Gresser (as authorized on 6/28/22)

JULIAN GRESSER

Attorney for Plaintiffs

16 MONICA EISENSTECKEN, DAVID BENEDICT,  
17 TAHOE STEWARDS, LLC, TAHOE FOR SAFER  
18 TECH, and ENVIRONMENTAL HEALTH  
TRUST

19  
20 /s/ Debbie Leonard (as authorized on 6/28/22)

DEBBIE LEONARD

Attorney for Defendants

21 TAHOE REGIONAL PLANNING AGENCY,  
22 JOANNE MARCHETTA, MARSHA  
23 BERKBIGLER, and SUE NOVASEL

24  
25 /s/ Heather Stroud (as authorized on 6/28/22)

HEATHER STROUD

City Attorney

26 CITY OF SOUTH LAKE TAHOE  
27  
28

1 MACKENZIE & ALBRITTON LLP

2 /s/ James A. Heard

3 JAMES A. HEARD

4 Attorneys for Defendants

5 SACRAMENTO VALLEY LIMITED

6 PARTNERSHIP, d/b/a VERIZON WIRELESS and  
7 GUILLIAM NEL


8 **ORDER**

9 Pursuant to stipulation of the parties, and good cause being shown, it is hereby ORDERED and  
10 ADJUDGED that:

- 11 1. For any motion to dismiss the TAC, the following page limits shall apply: 30 pages for  
12 opening and opposition briefs, and 15 pages for reply briefs.

13 IT IS SO ORDERED.

14 Dated: June 29, 2022

15   
16 \_\_\_\_\_  
17 Troy L. Nunley  
18 United States District Judge  
19  
20  
21  
22  
23  
24  
25  
26  
27  
28